

Single Audit Report
Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Year ended June 30, 2014
with Report of Independent Auditors

	<u>PAGE</u>
REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance for Each Major Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	6
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	7
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	9
STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS	11

**Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

**The Honorable Members of the Board of Commissioners
Community Development Commission of the
County of Los Angeles, California**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles, California (Commission), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 24, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Commission's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Commission's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vasquez + Company LLP

**Los Angeles, California
November 24, 2014**

**Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program, on
Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures
of Federal Awards, Required by OMB Circular A-133**

**The Honorable Members of the Board of Commissioners
Community Development Commission of the
County of Los Angeles, California**

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles, California's (the Commission) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Commission's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014. The Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Commission's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Commission's compliance.



Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Commission complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Commission's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for its major federal programs and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the government activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Commission as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 24, 2014, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Vasquez + Company LLP

**Los Angeles, California
November 24, 2014**

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year ended June 30, 2014**

<u>Federal Grantor/Program Title</u>	<u>Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number</u>	<u>Federal Expenditures</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>		
Direct Programs:		
Lower Income Housing Assistance Program		
Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation	14.856	\$ 1,656,889
Community Development Block Grants	14.218	26,729,610 *
Emergency Solutions Grant Program	14.231	2,728,086
Shelter Plus Care	14.238	9,037,923
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	11,171,388 *
Public and Indian - Owned Housing Program	14.850	8,145,059
Resident Opportunity and Supportive Services	14.870	265,293
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	249,242,275 *
Section 8 Rental Certificate Program - Project Based	14.857	2,210,242 *
Public Housing Capital Fund	14.872	<u>4,247,165</u>
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development - Direct Programs		315,433,930
Indirect Programs - Passed Through the City of Los Angeles		
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) Program	14.241	<u>153,489</u>
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		<u>315,587,419</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>		
Direct Program:		
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	<u>17,188,421 *</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Commerce</u>		
Direct Program:		
Economic Adjustment Assistance	11.307	<u>14,968,681 **</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		<u>\$ 347,744,521</u>

* Major programs.

** In determining Type A programs, this program requires that the following be considered as part of expenditures of federal awards:

1. Balance of Revolving Loan Fund (RLF) loans outstanding at the end of the fiscal year	\$ 9,127,574
2. Cash and investment balance in the RLF at the end of the fiscal year	4,479,016
3. Expenses paid out of RLF during the fiscal year	<u>1,362,091</u>
Total expenditures of federal awards considered in determining Type A programs	<u>\$ 14,968,681</u>

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year ended June 30, 2014**

NOTE 1 GENERAL

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) presents the activity of all federal award programs of the Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles, California (Commission), a component financial reporting unit of the County of Los Angeles, California. The Commission's reporting entity is defined in note 1 of the notes to the Commission's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies to the Commission are included in the accompanying SEFA.

NOTE 2 BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying SEFA is presented using the basis of accounting prescribed by the respective granting agency. Differences between the granting-agency basis of accounting and the modified-accrual basis of accounting used by the Commission in preparing its governmental fund financial statements are as follows:

- Amounts disbursed in exchange for notes receivable are treated as expenditures under the granting-agency basis of accounting.
- Notes receivable collections are treated as grant income under the granting-agency basis of accounting.
- Principal payments of long-term debt are treated as a reduction of the long-term debt and are not considered to be expenditures under the granting-agency basis of accounting.

NOTE 3 RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Total expenditure amounts reported in the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards agree with the total expenditure amounts reported in the related federal financial reports in all material respects, except for the effect of timing differences and the differences described in Note 2.

NOTE 4 RELATIONSHIP TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Federal award activities are recorded in the Commission's Special Revenue and Enterprise Funds in the basic financial statements.

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year ended June 30, 2014**

NOTE 5 PUBLIC HOUSING CAPITAL FUND

Public Housing Capital Fund (CFDA # 14.872) expenditures were broken down as follows:

<u>Program Identification Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>
CA16P002501-12	\$ 25,170
CA16P002501-13	<u>4,221,995</u>
	<u>\$ 4,247,165</u>

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2014**

Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued on the financial statements	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting	
Material weakness(es) identified	No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses	None reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted	No

Federal Awards

Internal control over its major programs	
Material weakness(es) identified	No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses	None reported
Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance With respect to major programs	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB A-133	None

Identification of Major Programs:

<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program</u>
14.218	Community Development Block Grants
14.239	HOME Investment Partnerships Program
14.871	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers Program
14.857	Section 8 Rental Certificate Program – Project Based
20.106	Airport Improvement Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs	\$3,000,000
Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee	Yes

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2014**

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

There were no financial statement findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Section III – Federal Award Findings

There were no federal award findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

**Community Development Commission of
the County of Los Angeles, California
Status of Prior Year Audit Findings
Year ended June 30, 2014**

Section IV – Status of Prior Year Findings

There were no findings noted during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 40-years experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. The McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance member firms maintain their respective names, autonomy and independence and are responsible for their own client fee arrangements, delivery of services and maintenance of client relationships.